# Prediction of the Population at Risk of Atherothrombotic Disease: A Three Step Approach

William E. Feeman,

Bowling Green Study, Bowling Green, Ohio, USA.

Corresponding Author: William E. Feeman, Bowling Green Study, Bowling Green, Ohio, USA.

## Received date: December 11, 2021; Accepted date: December 25, 2021; Published date: January 04, 2022

**Citation:** William E. Feeman, (2022) Prediction of the Population at Risk of Atherothrombotic Disease: A Three Step Approach. J. Cardiology Research and Reports 4(1); DOI: 10.31579/2692-9759/036

**Copyright:** © 2022, William E. Feeman, This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### Abstract

The mainstay of the prevention of atherothrombotic disease (ATD, which is atherosclerotic disease, with emphasis on the thrombosis that so often precipitates the acute ATD event, such as acute myocardial infarction, acute cerebral infarction, aortic aneurysm, etc) is the prediction of the population at risk of ATD. There are many predictive tools, all of which use the same general risk factors, but the one favored by the author is the Bowling Green Study (BGS) graph.. This graph is based on the ATD risk factor constellations of 870 people in Bowling Green, Ohio, the county seat of Wood County, in northwest Ohio. (There is one other patient who has full lipid data and blood pressure data, but whose cigarette smoking status is not known.) The ordinate of the graph is the lipid arm and consists of the Cholesterol Retention Fraction (CRF, defined as [LDL-HDL]/LDL). HDL refers to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and LDL refers to low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. The abscissa of the graph is the blood pressure arm, represented by the systolic blood pressure (SBP). This graph was initially developed in 1981 (using the LDL:HDL ratio) then modified in 1983 (using the CRF), and, by 1988, the author was able to generate a threshold line, which separated the main stream of ATD patients' CRF-SBP plots from those of a few outliers. (The threshold line is not a regression line, but rather a divider, based on the principle of the fewest false negatives.) The 1988 threshold line was modified in 2000 to its present location at CRF-SBP loci (0.74, 100) and (0.49, 140). Many of the various ATD risk predictors are complex and difficult to use, whereas the graph is simple to use and based on the risk factor constellations of actual ATD patients, wherein lies its value.

Keywords: atherothrombotic disease; acute myocardial infarction; acute cerebral infarction; aortic aneurysm

## Introduction

The mainstay of the prevention of atherothrombotic disease (ATD, which is atherosclerotic disease, with emphasis on the thrombosis that so often precipitates the acute ATD event, such as acute myocardial infarction, acute cerebral infarction, aortic aneurysm, etc) is the prediction of the population at risk of ATD. There are many predictive tools, all of which use the same general risk factors, but the one favored by the author is the Bowling Green Study (BGS) graph. [1-11]. This graph is based on the ATD risk factor constellations of 870 people in Bowling Green, Ohio, the county seat of Wood County, in northwest Ohio. (There is one other patient who has full lipid data and blood pressure data, but whose cigarette smoking status is not known.) The ordinate of the graph is the lipid arm and consists of the Cholesterol Retention Fraction (CRF, defined as [LDL-HDL]/LDL). HDL refers to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and LDL refers to low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. The abscissa of the graph is the blood pressure arm, represented by the systolic blood pressure (SBP). This graph was initially developed in 1981 (using the LDL:HDL ratio) then modified in 1983 (using the CRF), and, by 1988,

the author was able to generate a threshold line, which separated the main stream of ATD patients' CRF-SBP plots from those of a few outliers. (The threshold line is not a regression line, but rather a divider, based on the principle of the fewest false negatives.) The 1988 threshold line was modified in 2000 to its present location at CRF-SBP loci (0.74, 100) and (0.49, 140). [12] Many of the various ATD risk predictors are complex and difficult to use, whereas the graph is simple to use and based on the risk factor constellations of actual ATD patients, wherein lies its value.

The Bowling Green Study (BGS) graph has been presented on numerous occasions [11-15], most recently in 2020, where the original graph was presented and then a version of the graph in which the graph was divided into 48 CRF-SBP cohorts in order to more closely determine the average ages of ATD onset in those patients in each of the CRF-SBP cohorts. While such an approach allows more precise determination of ATD risk for a given patient with a given CRF and a given SBP, when the CRF-SBP plots of the 870 patients (or 871 patients if cigarette smoking status is not considered), the numbers of patients within any CRF-SBP cohort

Copy rights @ William E. Feeman et.al.

may vary widely. To compensate for this disadvantage, the author, using the same graph and patients, in this manuscript, presents a three-step method of analyzing ATD risk.

# **Materials and Methods**

The background of the BGS is been presented on numerous occasion [13-15]. In brief, bowling green is a small college town, home of Bowling Green State University. Located in northwest Ohio, bowling green is the county seat of Wood County. The chief employer in bowling green is the University and the city of Bowling Green consists of approximately 28,000 people, about half of whom are college students. The populace is mostly Americans of European descent, with the biggest minority being Americans of Latin American descent. While Americans of African, Asian, and Middle Eastern descent are increasing in numbers, they make up only a small fraction of the population which forms the basis of this publication. The main employer in the area is Bowling Green State University and the hinterland is mainly rural with farming as the chief employment. Many small businesses exist, albeit mainly within the city.

When the author began his practice of family medicine in bowling green in late 1974, He determined to find a way to predict the population at risk of ATD and to do this, he knew that he would need an ATD risk factor database. To this end, he measured blood pressures and heights/weights (converted into body mass index) on each any every patient who presented to his office, each and every time they presented. When the author appreciated the paramount importance of cigarette smoking in ATD, after 1983, he also determined tobacco use status on each patient aged 15 years and older-and after 1993, in all patients aged 10 years or older. The author measured lipid profiles and two hour postprandial blood sugar levels whenever indicated-and any reason to measure such ATD risk factors was considered an adequate reason. Lipid profiles were limited to total cholesterol (CT) and triglycerides (TG) until 1 January 1978, when HDL became available and hence LDL could be calculated by the Friedewald equation [16]. These measurements were collected into a General Population database.

By 1981, the author has accumulated enough ATD patients to begin to separate an ATD database from the General Population database. This happened because, in the 1970's, ATD risk estimates were not very good and in any event were few in number. Additionally, medications used to treat dyslipidemia were not very good and medications to treat hypertension were limited. Finally, in the 1970's and afterwards, patients were not very receptive to the concept of preventive cardiology --and for that matter neither were most of the Wood County physicians.

Inspection of the ATD database revealed that rarely did ATD patients have only one ATD risk factor-usually they were multiple. Hence the author sought to determine which risk factor combinations best predicted ATD risk best. The risk factor combination that was found to be the best predictor was the combination of dyslipidemia and hypertension, and this predictor was only obvious when stratified by cigarette smoking status. Risk factor analysis was straight-forward for SBP and cigarette smoking-but the lipid portion was more complex. Patients with high LDL had ATD events, as expected, but then so did patients with lower LDL levels. People with low levels of HDL had ATD events, but then so did patients with high HDL levels. In 1981 the author saw an article entitled "Is the LDL:HDL Ratio the Best Lipid Predictor?" (The author regrets that the article is now lost to him, so that he cannot give appropriate credit.) With this in mind, the author reexamined his ATD database and discovered that ATD patients with lower LDL levels usually had very low HDL levels and that ATD patients with high HDL levels usually had very high LDL levels. (When this was not the case, the

younger patients were cigarette smokers, current of past, while the older patients had hypertension, with or without diabetes.) This finding supported and validated the article's question, and the author began to use the LDL:HDL ratio.

In 1983, it occurred to the author that what he really wanted to know was how much cholesterol was accumulating within the artery walls. This, he reasoned, was best estimated by the difference between the cholesterol entering the artery wall (LDL) and that being removed from the artery wall by reverse cholesterol transport (HDL), expressed as a percentage of the cholesterol entering the artery wall. Thus was born the concept of the CRF. However, it also became obvious to the author that if LDL levels were exceedingly high, then HDL could no longer compensate for LDL. The LDL level at which this occurred was 170 mg/dl (4.4 mmoles/L). Thus was born the concept of the cholesterol threshold (C Thr), signifying that dyslipidemia worth treating was present at CRF values of 0.70 or higher or at LDL levels of 170 mg/dl (4.4 mmoles/L) or higher. In the BGS, C Thr now forms the basis for defining dyslipidemia.

In the BGS, LDL is not measured, but rather calculated by the Friedewald equation as already noted. The Friedewald equation was developed to estimate LDL levels based of CT, HDL, and TG/5, and HDL is this equation was measured by the precipitation method. (CT means total cholesterol and TG means triglycerides.) In 1999, and without notifying the medical profession, the manufacturers of the auto-analyzers changed from the precipitation method of HDL measurement to the enzymatic method. The two different methodologies give two different values for HDL levels, the enzymatic method giving a value on the order of 10 mg/dl (0.25 mmoles/L) higher than the precipitation method. Hence the calculated LDL value using the enzymatic method will be on the order of 10 mg/dl (0.25 mmoles/L) lower than that obtained had the precipitation method been used. While such discrepancies are not highly significant for LDL or HDL, they have a major impact on the CRF. This was reported in 2008. [17] All HDL and OLDL values presented in this paper are based on the precipitation method of HDL-cholesterol measurement. HDLcholesterol measurements (and hence LDL-cholesterol calculations) obtained after the methodology change (in May, 1999) are converted from the enzymatic values to the equivalent precipitation values by the formula given by the Wood County Hospital and is as follows: HDL (precipitation method)= [HDL (enzymatic method)-12]/0.93. Formulas from other laboratories may differ.

# Results

The BGS graph is shown in Figure I. (If the enzymatic method of HDL measurement is used, the threshold line coordinates are [0.62, 100] and [0.40, 140]). If one then divides the graph into quadrants, using the SBP=140 as the site of the vertical line and CRF=0.70 as the site of the horizontal line, one can then also divide the BGS graph into four quadrants: the left upper quadrant is pure dyslipidemia;, the right upper quadrant is dyslipidemic hypertension; the right lower quadrant is pure hypertension; and the left lower quadrant is neither. (See Figure II.) Treated hypertensives have their plots shifted to the adjacent zone on the right, whereas patients with C Thr are shifted to the adjacent zone superiorly. Dyslipidemic patients treated pharmacologically are not included, but are few in number. Table I shows the ATD outcomes of the patients until the end of 2003 because at the end of 2003, there was a major shift in insurance coverage when a new start-up insurance company entered the Wood County area and the author lost a sizeable portion of his practice, including many ATD patients, to the new insurance plan. Prior to this event, the author had basically full knowledge of his ATD patients' outcomes, but not thereafter.



CRF means Cholesterol Retention Fraction SBP Means Systolic Blood Pressure HDL means High Density Lipoprotein

# **Figure I**

Sex	Average Age of		+	Past	-	+	Past	-
Mala								
Male		Total Patients	126	120	96	20	14	0
	ATD Ongot	Total Patient Vegns	6650	130 8526	5012	1174	1041	622
	AID Onsei	Ave. Are of ATD Orget	52	6530	5913	50	74	023
		Ave. Age of ATD Oliset		00	09	39	74	78
		Total Patients	38	41	32	6	5	1
	MSD Onset	Total Patient Years	2363	2983	2522	382	402	78
		Ave Age of MSD Onset	62	73	79	64	80	78
		Total Patients	49	64	47	12	11	4
	Death	Total Patient Years	3153	4780	3805	815	879	374
		Ave Age of Death	64	75	81	68	80	94
Female								
		Total Patients	65	56	137	18	15	34
	ATD Onset	Total Patient Years	3852	3908	9955	1145	1003	2543
		Ave. Age of ATD Onset	59	70	73	64	67	75
				1				

	Total Patients	22	24	49	6	7	16
MSD Onset	Total Patient Years	1534	1800	3931	440	532	1283
	Ave. Age of MSD Onset	70	75	80	73	76	80
	Total Patients	26	23	79	9	7	23
Death	Total Patient Years	1830	1824	6542	650	533	1941
	Ave. Age of Death	70	79	83	72	76	84

ATD means Atherothrombotic Disease

"+" means Current Cigarette Smoker

"Past" means Former Cigarette Smoker

"-" means Never Cigarette Smoker

MSD means Multiple System Disorder

ASR Line means Angiographic Stabilization/Regression Line

#### Table I: ATD w/r to ASR Line 1974-2003



Once the quadrant divisions are in place, the various subdivisions can be named. The left upper quadrant is termed Zone I, with patients above the threshold line receiving the designation "A" and patients below the threshold line receiving the designation "B." The right upper quadrant is termed Zone II, and all plots are above the threshold line. The right lower quadrant is termed Zone III, with the subdivisions of "A" being above the threshold line and "B" being below the threshold line. The left lower quadrant is termed Zone IV, with subdivisions of "A" being above the threshold line and "B" being below the threshold line. (See Figure III.)Each of these subdivisions can be stratified by cigarette smoking status. (See See Figure IV-A for males and IV-B for females, and Tables II-A for males and II-B for females. Each subdivision demonstrates the average age of the patients in that subdivision.)



BGS Means Bowling Green Study

## ATD Means Atherothrombotic Disease

CRF Means Cholesterol Retention Fraction

SBP Means Systolic Blood Pressure

Figure	III:	BGS	Gra	ph A	noles	and	Triang	les
riguit	111.	DUD	Uru	pn m	ngies	unu	1 nungi	1 <b>C</b> 1

Zone		+	Past	Σ-	?	Σ
IA						
		61	41	39		141
	ATD Pop	480	480	480		480
		13%	9%	8%		29%
IA						
		190	100	201	13	504
	Gen Pop	1635	1635	1635	1635	1635
		12%	6%	12%	1%	21%
IB						
		2		2		4
	ATD Pop	480		480		480
	iii 2 i op	~0%		~0%		1%
IB		0,0		0,0		170
ID ID		4	3	7	1	15
	Gen Pon	1635	1635	1635	1635	1635
	Genrop	~0%	~0%	~0%	~0%	1%
		070	070			170
п						
		56	64	41		161
	ATD Pop	480	480	41		480
	литир	12%	13%	400		3/%
т		1270	1370	270		3470
11		9/	80	106	10	290
	Con Pon	24 1635	1635	1635	1635	1635
	Genrop	6%	5%	6%	1055	18%
		070	570	070	1 /0	1070
IIIA						
IIIA	+ +	24	30	21		75
	ATD Bor	24 480	480	480		15
	AIDrop	400	400	400		400
		J 70	070	470		1070
IIIA						
		59	49	45	15	168
	Gen Pop	1635	1635	1635	1635	1635

		40/	20/	20/	1.0/	100/
		4%	5%	5%	1%	10%
					_	
Zone		+	Past	Σ-	?	Σ
IIIB						
		4	12	3		19
	ATD Pop	480	480	480		480
		1%	2%	1%		4%
IIIB						
		12	13	5	1	31
	Gen Pop	1635	1635	1635	1635	1635
	-	1%	1%	~0%	~0%	2%
IVA						
		18	14	8		40
	ATD Pop	480	480	480		480
	1	4%	3%	2%		8%
IVA						
		73	57	87	10	227
	Gen Pop	1635	1635	1635	1635	1635
	1	4%	3%	5%	1%	14%
IVB						
		20	11	8	1	40
	ATD Pop	480	480	480	480	480
	1	4%	2%	2%	~0%	8%
IVB						
		97	42	204	57	400
	Gen Pop	1635	1635	1635	1635	1635
	<b>T</b>	6%	3%	12%	3%	24%

ATD Means Atherothrombotic Disease

+ Means Current Cigarette Smoker

Past Means Past Cigarette Smoker

- Means No History of Smoking Cigarettes

? Means Unknown Smoking History

 Table IIA: Zonal Incidence in Male ATD Population Vs Male General population % of Whole Cigarettes

Zone		+	Past	Σ-	?	Σ
IA						
		36	15	27		78
	ATD Pop	391	391	391		391
		9%	4%	7%		20%
IA						
		125	57	121	13	316
	Gen Pop	1628	1628	1628	1628	1628
		8%	4%	7%	1%	19%
IB						
		1	1	1		3
	ATD Pop	391	391	391		391
		~0%	~0%	~0%		1%
IB						
		11	2	16	1	30
	Gen Pop	1628	71628	1628	1628	1628
		1%	~0%	1%	~0%	2%
II						
		25	25	67		117
	ATD Pop	391	391	391		391
		6%	6%	17%		30%

II						
	Gen Pop	51 1628 3%	37 1628 2%	76 1628 5%	6 1628 ~0%	170 1628 10%
IIIA						
	ATD Pop	14 391 4%	19 391 5%	49 391 13%		82 391 21%
IIIA						
	Gen Pop	21 1628 1%	18 1628 1%	68 1628 4%	16 1628 1%	123 1628 8%

Zone		+	Past	Σ-	?	Σ
IIIB						
		6	5	15		26
	ATD Pop	391	391	391		391
	-	2%	1%	4%		7%
IIIB						
		14	10	35	1	60
	Gen Pop	1628	1628	1628	1628	1628
	-	1%	2%	2%	~0%	4%
IVA						
		11	3	12		26
	ATD Pop	391	391	391		391
		3%	1%	3%		7%
IVA						
		58	31	78	14	181
	Gen Pop	1628	1628	1628	1628	1628
	-	3%	2%	5%	1%	11%
IVB						
		17	12	30		59
	ATD Pop	391	391	391		391
	1	4%	3%	8%		15%
IVB						
		160	66	385	137	748
	Gen Pop	1628	1628	1628	1628	1628
	-	10%	4%	24%	8%	46%

ATD Means Atherothrombotic Disease

+ Means Current Cigarette Smoker

Past Means Past Cigarette Smoker

- Means No History of Smoking Cigarettes

? Means Unknown Smoking History

 Table IIB: Zonal Incidence in Female ATD Population Vs Female General population % of Whole Cigarettes



## BGS Means Bowling Green Study

#### ATD Means Atherothrombotic Disease

**CRF** Means Cholesterol Retention Fraction

SBP Means Systolic Blood Pressure

\*\* 4/256/64

#### Figure IVA: Average age of ATD Onset Male ATD Population



BGS Means bowling green Study ATD Means Atherothrombotic Disease CRF Means Cholesterol Retention Fraction SBP Means Systolic Blood Pressure \*\* 3/174/58

## Figure IVB: Average Age of ATD Onset Female ATD Population

In the BGS ATD database, the quadrant with the most patients in both men and women is Zone II; however, the Zone with the youngest such patients is Zone I. The subdivision with the youngest patients is Zone IA, Zone IB being excluded due to very small numbers. The youngest patients in Zone IA are the cigarette smokers, followed by ex-smokers, and then by never-smokers, and this is true for all Zones. Interestingly, the patients in Zone I tend to be younger than those in Zone II, who in turn tend to younger than those in Zone III. This demonstrates the greater importance of dyslipidemia and the lesser importance of hypertension in clinical ATD. On the other hand the incidence of cigarette smoking is greatest in Zone I patients and falls off progressively in Zones II and III.

The patients in Zone IV have neither dyslipidemia (as the author has defined it) nor hypertension. In men, the highest incidence of cigarette smoking is seen in Zone IV, whereas in women, the Zone IV incidence in cigarette smoking is second only to that in Zone I. Some of the women in Zone IV had had central chest radiation for lymphomas and others were taking cyclic-sequential hormone replacement therapy (HRT), which may favorably influence lipid levels or continuous-combined HRT, which is

known to promote thrombosis in susceptible women. Other women had hypercoagulable states. And finally, many never-smoking women suffered from passive cigarette smoking courtesy of their husbands. All of these conditions adversely affect the age on ATD onset. While any of these conditions may affect the age of ATD onset, the overwhelming risk factor is cigarette smoking since only 38% (15/40) of males were never cigarette smokers and only 49% (42/85) of females were never cigarette smokers.

The BGS graph can also be divided into 48 CRF-SBP cohorts, as shown in Figures V A-D. This permits a more precise determination in average age of ATD onset, though at the expense of fewer patients per cohort.

		24	36	40	26	15	10	4	٤
		1,460	1957	2,333	1,576	823	590	275	451
	= 0.80	61	56	58	61	55	59	69	56
		19	33	25	26	10	14	5	16
		1,147	1,959	1,574	1,616	562	931	278	1,080
	0.75	60	59	63	62	56	67	56	68
		25	31	27	23	12	15	8	10
		1,499	2,008	1,726	1,555	751	1,037	607	705
CRF	0.70	60	65	64	68	63	69	76	71
		20	25	17	14	10	10	5	15
		1,311	1,523	1,200	985	670	684	389	1,031
	0.65	66	61	71	70	67	70	78	65
		13	19	17	10	10	8	5	ŧ
		864	1,302	1,138	595	707	576	358	337
	0.60	66	69	67	60	71	72	72	67
		51	37	36	30	18	14	8	12
		3,380	2,539	2,470	1969	1,307	1056	598	857
	= 0.59	66	69	69	67	73	75	75	71
		= 118	120	130	140	150	160	170	= 180
					SBP				

CRF vs SBP: Original Logs

 $\Sigma$ Male & Female:  $\Sigma$  Cigarettes

BGS ATD pop :  $\Sigma$ 

CRF means Cholesterol Retention Fraction

SBP means Systolic Blood Pressure

BGS means Bowling Green Study

ATD means Atherothrombotic Disease

Figure V A- means no history of cigarette smoking

		9	18	17	12	7	4		3
		441	817	885	632	339	228		145
	= 0.80	49	45	52	53	48	57		48
		7	11	11	8	3	5	1	4
		369	681	587	431	161	297	45	233
	0.75	53	62	53	54	54	59	45	58
		11	9	11	5	2	3	3	1
		620	455	600	311	91	155	215	56
CRF	0.70	56	51	55	62	46	52	72	56
		8	9	2	2	3	3		5
		441	431	151	130	138	169		244
	0.65	55	48	76	65	46	56		49
		8	10	7	4	2	2	1	1
		418	628	448	222	128	117	50	76
	0.60	52	63	64	56	64	59	50	76
		20	10	6	13	3	3	3	4
		1,212	569	369	703	201	183	184	236
	= 0.59	61	57	62	54	67	61	61	59
		= 118	120	130	140	150	160	170	= 180
					SBP				

CRF means Cholesterol Retention Fraction

SBP means Systolic Blood Pressure

BGS means Bowling Green Study

ATD means Atherothrombotic Disease

Figure V B- means no history of cigarette smoking

		7	9	9	8	6	3	4	2
		421	575	510	530	355	158	275	118
	= 0.80	60	64	57	66	59	53	69	57
		1	10	6	12	4	5	1	5
		47	619	398	779	235	346	48	364
	0.75	47	62	66	65	59	69	48	73
		6	9	8	10	6	5		1
		307	615	565	694	360	376		63
CRF	0.70	51	68	71	69	60	75		63
		5	7	7	6	3	2	2	5
		329	499	491	423	209	167	160	246
	0.65	66	71	70	71	70	84	80	82
		1	5	4	2	2	2	1	2
		87	394	248	114	133	134	52	134
	0.60	87	79	62	57	67	67	52	67
		12	11	14	9	8	5		5
		876	799	959	663	583	397		198
	= 0.59	73	73	69	74	73	79		66
		= 118	120	130	140	150	160	170	= 180
					SBP				

CRF means Cholesterol Retention Fraction

SBP means Systolic Blood Pressure

BGS means Bowling Green Study

ATD means Atherothrombotic Disease

Figure V C- means no history of cigarette smoking

		8	9	14	6	2	3		3
		598	563	938	414	129	204		193
	= 0.80	75	63	67	69	65	68		64
		11	12	8	6	3	4	3	7
		731	659	589	406	166	288	185	483
	0.75	66	55	74	68	55	72	62	69
		8	13	8	8	4	7	5	٤
		572	938	561	550	300	506	392	590
CRF	0.70	72	72	70	69	75	72	78	74
		7	9	8	6	4	4	3	7
		541	593	558	432	313	325	229	541
	0.65	77	66	70	72	78	81	76	77
		4	4	6	4	6	4	3	2
		359	280	442	259	446	325	256	127
	0.60	90	70	74	65	74	81	85	64
		19	15	16	8	7	6	5	
		1,292	1,096	1,146	603	523	476	414	423
	= 0.59	68	73	72	75	75	79	82	85
		= 118	120	130	140	150	160	170	= 180
					SBP				

CRF means Cholesterol Retention Fraction SBP means Systolic Blood Pressure BGS means Bowling Green Study ATD means Atherothrombotic Disease

Figure V D- means no history of cigarette smoking

The same graphic technique can be applied to the BGS general population base. The data comparing the BGS ATD population and the BGS general population base is displayed in Tables II-A for males and II-B for females. It will be noted that a CRF-SBP plot in Zones II and III favors the ATD population whereas a CRF-SBP plot in Zone IV favors the general population.

# Discussion

The prediction of the population at risk of ATD requires knowledge of those persons' ATD risk factors. The better that a physician can predict the population at risk of ATD, the better, at least in theory, he/she can prevent clinical ATD events. Since ATD risk factors rarely act in isolation, it is essential that the risk predictor tool utilize an integration of the individual ATD risk factors, rather than treating them as independent factors. Moreover, as William E. Connor, MD, noted in the mid 1970's, physicians should not treat various risk factors to an arbitrary goal, but rather to levels that prevent disease. (Medical World News, mid 1970's, exact source lost to the author) This graph fulfills all of these criteria.

The chief ATD risk factors are cigarette smoking, dyslipidemia, and hypertension, with some contribution by the very high blood sugar levels of uncontrolled diabetes. (18) However, the author has shown that the various ATD risk factors rarely operate independently and therefore any predictive tool must treat the various risk factors as dependent variables. To this end, the author has presented a unique method of estimating that risk—i.e., the BGS graph. This graph has been presented as a graph with no subdivisions—simply a determination as to whether the CRF-SBP plot is below or above the threshold line. This graph can in turn be divided into quadrants, defining dyslipidemia and hypertension or lack thereof. This approach has the advantage of being more specific as to degree of risk, but the numbers in each cohort will be somewhat smaller. The graph can then be divided into 48 CRF-SBP cohorts, but while this permits more

precise definition of ATD risk, the numbers in each CRF-SBP cohort will of necessity be much fewer.

The author suggests a three-step approach. First, obtain the patient's CRF (or C Thr as applicable), SBP, and cigarette smoking status. Then plot the data on the simple BGS graph. If the patient's plot position is below the threshold line and he/she does not have C Thr and if the patient has never smoked cigarettes, then nothing need be done since the patient is of little risk of ATD; however, periodic follow up must be done to assure that the patient remains at very low risk. If the patient is an ex-smoker, again nothing need be done, though periodic follow-up is needed to assure that the patient remains within the low risk zone. If the patient is a cigarette smoker, he/she must give up the smoking habit, and of course be followed periodically.

If, on the other hand, the CRF-SBP plot lies above the threshold line (first order approximation of ATD risk), then the next step is the determination of which quadrant the CRF-SBP plot lies within and where the CRF-SBP plot lies with respect to the threshold line. Figures III-A (males) and III-B (females) give a second order approximation of ATD risk. As noted previously, subdividing the BGS graph into its 48 CRF-SBP cohorts, will then give highest (third order) approximation of ATD risk, though the numbers of patients per cohort will be fewer. The third order approximation of ATD risk should be definitive; however, if one lives long enough and does not die of something else, an ATD event is likely to occur sometime due to the ravages of time upon the human body. There is no zone of "zero" ATD risk, only areas of low ATD risk. While low risk zones imply a lack of ATD onset at early or middle ages, it does not imply a lack of ATD events in very old age.

The figures for the third order approximation are shown in Figures V A-D. Since there are 48 possible CRF-SBP combinations and there are only 871 ATD patients, males and females are grouped together. Figure V-A gives the averages of ATD onset per CRF-SBP cohort without regard for

cigarette smoking status and thus includes the 75 year old man whose cigarette smoking status is not known. Figure V-B gives the same data for patients currently smoking cigarettes at time of ATD onset; Figure V-C, for ex-smokers; and Figure V-D, for never smokers, though other forms of tobacco use were allowed, such as pipe or cigar smoking or tobacco chewing, provided that the patient never smoked cigarettes. Cohorts in which the average age of ATD onset is 64 years or younger are color-coded red; cohorts with an average age of ATD onset of 65-74 years, color-coded yellow; and cohorts with an average age of ATD onset of 75 years or older, color-coded green. The patient need only go to the appropriate CRF-SBP cohort to see his/her risk and then determine the need of urgency of treatment. It will be noted that clear-cut zones of risk can be seen in Figures V C-D, but not for Figure V-B. This is discussed more fully in reference 11.

The success of the above regimen depends upon the early detection of the ATD risk factors. If the risk factors are undetected or untreated long enough, then even if the risk factors are eventually corrected, even optimally, an early ATD event may occur. The reason for this is that the plaque has a central lipid core, covered over by a fibrous cap. It is possible to shrink the cholesterol core, but it is not possible, with today's medications, to shrink the fibrous plaque. The fibrous cap creates a disturbance in the laminar flow of blood, which signals the body's homeostatic mechanism to lay down more fibrous tissue, which eventually compromises blood flow and may precipitate a thrombus, giving rise to an acute ATD event.

The success of this regimen is also dependent upon achieving risk factor control that minimizes plaque progression and maximizes plaque stabilization/regression. This requires achieving an LDL goal of 80 mg/dl (2.0 mmoles/L) if the precipitation method of measuring HDL is used or 70 mg/dl (1.75 mmoles/L) if the enzymatic method is utilized [19-20]. Cigarette smoking must be given up. SBP must be controlled to a minimum of 140 mm Hg. Of course the blood sugar levels of diabetes must be controlled as well.

# Conclusions

The BGS graph for the prediction of the population at risk of ATD can be presented in the three steps as noted above. The first approach utilizes the graph with respect to the threshold line. The second divides the graph into zones, which gives increasing accuracy of prediction per cohort but which sacrifices strength of numbers. What the third step loses in numbers of patients per subdivision, it makes up for by increased accuracy of prediction. The ease of this approach makes it valuable for general physicians and patients alike to understand and to use it.

#### References

- Kannel WB, McGee D, Gordon T (1976). A General Cardiovascular Risk Profile: The Framingham Study. Am J Cardiol.38 (1)"46-51
- Wallis EJ, Ramsey LE, Ul Haq I, Ghahramani P, Jackson PR, Rowland-Yeo K, Yeo WW (2000). Coronary and Cardiovascular Risk Estimation for Primary Prevention: Validation of a New Sheffield Table in the 1995 Scottish Health Survey Population. BMJ; 320:671-676.
- D'Augostino RB Sr, GrundyS, Sullivan LM, Wilson P (2001) .CHD Risk Prediction Group. Validation of the Framingham Coronary Heart Disease Prediction Scorres: Results of a Multiple Ethnic Group Investigation. JAMA. 286(2):180-187.

- 4. Berry JD, Dyer A, Cai X. et al (2012). Lifetime Risks of Cardiovascular Disease. *N Eng J Med.* 366 (4): 321-329.
- Lloyd-Jones DM, Wilson PWF, Larson MG, Beiser A, Leip EP, D'agnstino RB, Levy D (2004). Framingham Risk Scoreand Prediction of Lifetime Risk for Coronary Heart Disease. *Am J Cardiol*.94: 20-24.
- Mortensen MB, Nordestgaard BG (2020). 2019 vs 2016 ESC/EAS Statin Guidelines for Primary Prevention of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease. *European Heart Journal*. 41 (31): 3005-3015.
- Villines TC, Taylor AJ (2012). Multi-Ethnic Study of Atheroschlerosis Arterial Age Versus Framingham 10-Year or Lifetime Cardiovascular Risk. *Am J Cardiol.*; 110: 1627-1630.
- (2010) SCORE2 Working Group and ESC Cardiovascular Risk Collaboration. SCORE2 Risk Prediction Algorithms: New Models to Estimate 10-Year Risk of Cardiovascular Disease in Europe. *European Heart Journal*. 42:2439-2454.
- Muntner P, Colantonio LD, Cushman M, Goff DC, Howard G, Howard VJ, Kissela B(2014). Validation of the Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Pooled Cohort Risk Equations. JAMA.; 311 (14): 1406-1415.
- 10.Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Vinograndova Y, Robson J, May M, Brindle P (200) Derivation and Validation of QRISK, a New Cardiovacular Disease Risk Score for the United Kingdon: Prospective Oen Cohort Study. EMJ.; 10.1136/bmj.39261.471806.55.
- Feeman Jr. WE (2020). Enhanced Prediction of the Population at Risk of Atherothrombotic Disease: Back to Framingham. *EC Cardiology*. 7.3: 1-19.
- Feeman Jr. W.E (2000). Prediction of Angiographic Stabilization/Regression of Coronary Atherosclerosis by a Risk Factor Graph. J. Cardio. Risk. 7: 415-423.
- Feeman Jr. W.E. The Bowling Green Study of the Primary and Secondary Prevention of Atherosclerosis: Descriptive Analysis, Findings, Applications and Conclusions. *Ohio J. Sci.* 92 (5): 153-181.
- 13. Feeman Jr. W.E (1993). The Bowling Green Study of the Primary and Secondary Prevention of Atherosclerotic Disease: Update 1991. Ohio. J. Sci. 94 (4): 105-112.
- 14. Feeman Jr. W.E (2004). Prediction of the Population at Risk of Atherothrombotic Disease. *Experimental and Clinical Cardiology*. Winter. 9: (4); 235-241.
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS (1972). Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem*;18:499-502.
- Feeman Jr. W.E (2008). Effect of HDL Measurement Technique on Prediction of Atherothrombotic Disease. *Journal of Clinical Lipidology*. 2;(5); 401-402.
- Feeman Jr. WE (2020). Risk Factors for Atherothrombotic Disease: A Suggested Rank Order. EC Cardiology; 7.11: 46-60.
- 18. Feeman Jr. WE (2013). LDL lowering: Evidence for a Plaque Non-Progression Threshold. *Int J Cardiovasc Res.* 2: 5.
- Feeman Jr WE (2021). Angiographic Disease Outcomes When Target Goals of Lipid Modifying Therapy are Not Met: Update. International Journal of Research Studies in Medical and Health Science. 6(17): 1-10.