Open Globe Ocular Injury- Etiology and Outcome
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Abstract
Ocular open globe injuries are often disabling for both the victim and society, as most of these eyes may remain unsalvageable. Many initial variables have been identified which can help in predicting the final visual outcome in these eyes. Also, various prognostic tools have been validated by previous studies. This article aims to review all these factors.
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Introduction

Ocular injury is a frequent and often preventable cause of visual impairment. Although it comprises of only 2% of all ocular injuries, it accounts for over half a million cases of monocular blindness worldwide. In 1998, World Health Organization estimated the global incidence of open globe injuries (OGIs) to be 3.5 per 100,000 persons per year. [5] The primary aim in managing these eyes is to restore the structural integrity of the globe at the earliest by primary repair. For eyes that are beyond repair, a primary enucleation may be considered. Inspite of improved micro-surgical facilities available now-a-days, the prognosis of most of these eyes remain grim.

Definitions of ocular trauma
Penetrating injury: Full-thickness corneoscleral wound with no exit wound
Perforating injury: Full-thickness corneoscleral wound with an exit wound
Intra-ocular foreign body (IOFB): Foreign object retained within the globe

Mechanisms of injury
Various mechanisms of injury have been identified by previous authors. In most of the articles, a sharp object (projectile objects, such as glass) has been reported to be the most common cause of injury, others being wooden/ metal stick injuries, fist injury, pellet, fall etc.[3,6,17,18] There is a significantly higher incidence of OGIs in males, suggesting either more aggressive behaviour or involvement in higher risk indoor and outdoor activities. [2,3,6-9] Also, a correlation has been observed between the place of injury and gender. Street and work place related injuries are more common in males, where-as home- related injuries are seen more in females. The most common place of injury in pediatric age group is school. [6,12-14,17,18]

Haavisto et al [21] reported that, 36% of eye injuries caused by Pellet guns resulted in permanent impairment. In November 2016, American academy of ophthalmology also recommended five safety tips to avoid toy related eye injuries. [22]

In their study, Gupta et al [16] found that injuries were more prevalent in pre-school and school age group, most of injuries occurred at home, majority of domestic injuries were caused by assault, wound size in accidental injuries was less as compared to those with assaults and, no case of sympathetic ophthalmitis was reported during follow-up.

Variables Affecting Final Visual Outcome

Previous studies have reported several preoperative factors associated with visual outcome of surgical repair in OGIs, most significant being the presence or absence of RAPD, presenting VA, and size of wound.[10-19,23-25] Other variables include age, [8-12] location of wound, [14-20,22] lens damage, [13] vitreous haemorrhage (VH), [13,15,17,19] retinal detachment (RD), [12-19] and presence of intraocular foreign body (IOFB) [3,12,13,17,19]. The outcome is significantly worse if the RAPD is present at the initial examination. Previous studies have shown that the presenting VA of less than 6/60 has significantly worse outcome as compared with an initial VA of more than 6/60. [17-19, 23-25]

Agarwal et al [25] in their study reported an association of hyphema and adnexal injuries with poor visual outcome, however reports by Rahman et al, [18] and Agarwal et al [23] did not corroborate this. Studies by Groessler et al, [15] Pieramici et al, [17] Rahman et al, [18] and Williams et al [27] have shown that visual outcome in cases where only single initial procedure was required is significantly better than those requiring more than one procedure.

Post traumatic endophthalmitis is most important complication of OGIs associated with worse final vision. Its incidence range from 4.9% to 54.2%. [28-31] RD is also a major complication in OGIs, associated with worse visual outcome, [31]

Ocular trauma score (OTS) and Cart model

Various system has been reported to predict final visual outcome on the basis of pre identified presenting factors. In 2002, ocular trauma score (OTS) developed by Kuhn et al [32] from eye injury registry. They listed initial poor VA, rupture, endophthalmitis, perforations, RD and APD as factors negatively affecting the final VA. OTS scores range from 1 (most severe injury and worst prognosis at 6 months follow-up) to 5 (least severe injury and least poor prognosis at 6 months). Each score is associated with a range of predicted post-injury visual acuities. It has a predictive accuracy of approximately 80%, which means that the OTS will be accurate 4 out of 5 times.
In 2008, Schmidt et al [20] developed classification and regression tree (CART) model to prospectively validate the VA prognosis in OGIs. Gupta et al [6] found this useful in predicting the final visual outcome based on some initial factors. Scott R, [33] Shah et al, [34] and Unver et al [35] reported usefulness of OTS in prognosticating final visual outcome in different types of ocular injuries. Wai Man et al [24] reported that OTS has high prognostic accuracy to predict final visual outcome. However Knippers et al [36] reported that both the OTS and CART models are accurate predictors of visual acuity outcomes after open globe injury.

**Conclusion:**
Open globe injury present with management dilemmas to an ophthalmologist. The visual prognosis of such eyes is often difficult to assess. The OTS may help them in this regard. The initial visual acuity can be useful for non-ophthalmologists to help predict the final visual prognosis in OGIs.
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